	UNITED S	STATES DISTRI	CT COURT FILED COVAL	
		District of	NEBRASKA	
ບາ	NITED STATES OF AMERICA  V.  JOSE ROMO-CORRALES	ORDE Case Numb	2007 JUN 12 PM 3: 39  R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL  per: 4:07MJ30251PE OF THE CERNAL	
	Defendant			
	dance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § the defendant pending trial in this case.	-	is been held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
	e defendant is charged with an offense describ local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of im	offense if a circumstance giving § 3156(a)(4).  e is life imprisonment or death.	nd has been convicted of a federal offense state rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is	
(2) Th (3) A for (4) Fin sat  (1) Ti  (2) Th	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).  (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.  Alternative Findings (A)  (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
Alternative Findings (B)				
(i) Th	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
<u> </u>				
	at the credible testimony and information sub	itten Statement of Reasons omitted at the hearing established dentification	s by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-	
to the exten reasonable of Government	endant is committed to the custody of the Atton t practicable, from persons awaiting or servir	ng sentences or being held in conse counsel. On order of a conty shall deliver the defendant to	presentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, sustody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a curt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance enature of Judicial Officer	
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge  Name and Title of Judicial Officer				

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).